



Peter Williams - 23 September 2010

A summer of consultations

Summer consultations

- ❑ CPI announcements
- ❑ Commission to review long term affordability of public sector pensions
- ❑ Review of automatic enrolment and NEST
- ❑ Increasing State Pension Age – call for evidence
- ❑ Removing age 75 annuitisation requirements
- ❑ Abolition of DC contracting out and post-2012 rebates consultations
- ❑ TPR guidance on monitoring employer support
- ❑ TPR guidance on departures from multi-employer schemes
- ❑ TPR guidance on ‘transfer incentives’



CPI announcements

- 22 June announcement of move from RPI to CPI for public sector schemes
 - “a more appropriate measure of pension recipients’ inflation experiences’
- 8 July Ministerial Statement extended to private sector
 - Use CPI for future pension increase orders under PSA93
 - Amend legislation for PPF and FAS
- 12 July DWP statement
 - Confirmed impact of change to pension increase orders
 - Will bring forward legislation “to ensure that other reference to price inflation in pensions law are consistent”
- TPR July statement – review rules, plan communication, no action on funding yet
- Currently awaiting further details (for consultation?)
 - What happens for schemes with RPI references in their rules (or booklets)?

Public Sector pensions

- Independent commission chaired by John Hutton
- Call for evidence in July, to assist in considering:
 - Affordability, fairness, impact on mobility and plurality of current public service provision of the current public sector pension schemes
 - The objectives that should guide public service pension in future
- Also asked to consider the case for delivering savings:
 - Options to deliver savings within the spending review period
- Expected to report in September

Review of automatic enrolment and NEST

- Review of the proposed scope of auto-enrolment announced on 24 June
- The review of automatic enrolment (AE) may among other things explore
 - The earnings threshold, above which AE applies
 - Introducing a de minimis level for contributions before AE applies
 - The age group to which AE should apply
 - The size of firm to which AE applies
 - Whether AE should apply from day one of employment
- On NEST,
 - The availability and capacity of other providers
 - Is NEST the most effective way to deliver
 - > future access to workplace pensions
 - > Income security in retirement

Increasing SPA and removing annuitisation at 75

- ▣ “Call for evidence” on increasing SPA announced on 24 June
 - Coalition agreement said increase to 66 would not be before 2016 (M) and 2010 (F)
- ▣ Consultation on removing requirement to annuitise by 75 launched on 15 July
 - Remove age 75 limit on existing drawdown arrangements **or**
 - introduce ‘flexible drawdown’ allowing unlimited amounts subject to ‘minimum income requirement’ based on pension income only; various options suggested
 - 55% charge on death for funds not used to provide dependants pension
 - Age 75 remains relevant for PCLS, tax relief on contributions, BCEs 5 and 5A

Contracting out consultations

- Abolition of DC contracting out consultation commenced 28 July
- From 6 April 2012
 - Protected Rights converted to “normal” MP benefits
 - Contracting out certificates cancelled
 - One-off requirement to inform members
- Transitional arrangements for rebates received after 2012, subsequent adjustments, transfer etc.
- Significantly less options for transfers of GMP/RST benefits
 - Not clear if this is intentional
- Separate GAD consultation on post 2012 rebates for COSRs
 - Very wide range of options

TPR draft guidance on monitoring employer support

- TPR think covenant strength needs closer scrutiny
 - Consider both legal obligations and financial position
 - Consider appointing external experts
 - Don't “spend disproportionate amounts” to measure/monitor covenant
- TPR considers options for improving security
 - Contingent assets versus extra cash
- On monitoring, TPR suggests
 - “full review” for every valuation
 - Annual review “generally undertaken” in the light of financial results
 - Standing item on agenda
 - Be ready to act quickly – immediate mitigation might be needed

TPR draft guidance on departures from multi-employer schemes

- Guidance is relevant for trustees and employers of multi-employer schemes
 - Once a debt is triggered
 - On an ongoing basis, to prepare for potential future debts
- Outlines the “six mechanisms” set out in legislation
- Sets out considerations from trustee and employer perspectives
 - Suggests that trustees should be aware of how debts would fall on each employer for covenant purposes – who is actually sponsoring the scheme?
 - Trustees entitled to expect full section 75 debt – any alternative must be in the best interest of scheme members and provide appropriate mitigation

TPR draft guidance on transfer incentives

- Titled 'transfer incentives' but covers other exercises such as pension increase conversions. Principles are:
 - Clear, fair and not misleading offers
 - Open and transparent offers
 - Identify and manage conflicts
 - Involve trustees from the start
 - Make independent financial advice available
- Trustees should start by presuming that the exercise is not in the members' best interests.
- TPR thinks there may only be a “small minority of cases where it might be beneficial”

Summer consultations – your thoughts and questions

- ❑ CPI announcements
- ❑ Commission to review long term affordability of public sector pensions
- ❑ Review of automatic enrolment and NEST
- ❑ Increasing State Pension Age – call for evidence
- ❑ Removing age 75 annuitisation requirements
- ❑ Abolition of DC contracting out and post-2012 rebates consultations
- ❑ TPR guidance on monitoring employer support
- ❑ TPR guidance on departures from multi-employer schemes
- ❑ TPR guidance on ‘transfer incentives’





To protect the confidential and proprietary information included in this material, it may not be disclosed or provided to any third parties without the prior written consent of Hewitt Associates Limited.

Hewitt Associates Limited does not accept or assume any responsibility for any consequences arising from any person, other than the intended recipient, using or relying on this material.